

The existence and decay rates of strong solutions for Navier-Stokes Equations in Bessel-potential spaces

D. Q. Khai, N. M. Tri

Institute of Mathematics, VAST
18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract: In this paper, we prove some results on the existence and decay properties of high order derivatives in time and space variables for local and global solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in Bessel-potential spaces.

§1. Introduction

This paper studies the Cauchy problem of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in the whole space \mathbb{R}^d for $d \geq 2$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) - \nabla p, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

which is a condensed writing for

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq k \leq d, & \partial_t u_k = \Delta u_k - \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l (u_l u_k) - \partial_k p, \\ \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l u_l = 0, \\ 1 \leq k \leq d, & u_k(0, x) = u_{0k}. \end{cases}$$

The unknown quantities are the velocity $u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), \dots, u_d(t, x))$ of the fluid element at time t and position x and the pressure $p(t, x)$.

There is an extensive literature on the existence and decay rate of strong solutions of the Cauchy problem for NSE.

The existence of strong solutions: The global well-posedness of strong solutions for small initial data in the critical Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is due to Fujita and Kato [8], also in [5], Chemin has proved the case of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, ($s > 1/2$). In [14], Kato has proved the case of the Lebesgue space $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In [15], Koch and Tataru have proved the case of the space BMO^{-1} (see also [7, 4, 22]). Recently, the authors of this article have considered NSE in mixed-norm

²*Keywords:* Navier-Stokes equations; decay rate; Bessel-potential space

³*e-mail address:* khaitoantin@gmail.com, triminh@math.ac.vn

Sobolev-Lorentz spaces and Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces, see [16] and [17] respectively. In [19] we prove that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $(1 < p \leq d)$. In [18], We considered the initial value problem for the non stationary Navier-Stokes equations on torus $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ and showed that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to Sobolev spaces $V_\alpha := D(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ with $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{3}{2}$. *The time-decay of strong solutions:* In [24], Maria E. Schonbek established the decay of the homogeneous H^m norms for solutions to NSE in two dimensions. She showed that if u is a solution to NSE with an arbitrary $u_0 \in H^m \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $m \geq 3$ then

$$\|D^\alpha u\|_2^2 \leq C_\alpha(t+1)^{-(|\alpha|+1)} \text{ and } \|D^\alpha u\|_\infty \leq C_\alpha(t+1)^{-(|\alpha|+\frac{1}{2})} \text{ for } t \geq 1, |\alpha| \leq m.$$

Zhi-Min Chen [3] showed that if $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(d \leq p < \infty)$ and $\|u_0\|_1 + \|u_0\|_p$ is small enough then there is a unique solution $u \in BC([0, \infty); L^1 \cap L^p)$, which satisfies decay property

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{d}{2}} (\|u\|_\infty + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Du\|_\infty + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^2u\|_\infty) < \infty.$$

Kato [14] studied strong solutions in the spaces $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by applying the $L^q - L^p$ estimates for the semigroup generated by the Stokes operator. He showed that there is $T > 0$ and a unique solution u , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{q})} u &\in BC([0, T]; L^q), \text{ for } d \leq q \leq \infty, \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}(2-\frac{d}{q})} \nabla u &\in BC([0, T]; L^q), \text{ for } d \leq q \leq \infty, \end{aligned}$$

as $u_0 \in L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$. He showed that $T = \infty$ if $\|u_0\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small enough.

Cannone [6] generalized the results of Kato. He showed that if $u_0 \in L^d$ and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_q^{\frac{d}{q}-1, \infty}}$, $(q > d)$ is small enough then there is a unique solution u , which satisfies

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{q})} u \in BC([0, \infty); L^q), \text{ for } q \geq d.$$

Note that the condition on the initial data of Cannon is weaker than that of Kato. In 2002, Cheng He and Ling Hsiao [13] extended the results of Kato. They estimated the decay rates of higher order derivatives in time and space variables for the strong solution to NSE with initial data in $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$. They showed that if $\|u_0\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small enough then there is a unique solution u , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1+|\alpha|+2\alpha_0-\frac{d}{q})} D_x^\alpha D_t^{\alpha_0} u &\in BC([0, \infty); L^q), \text{ for } q \geq d, \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}(2+|\alpha|-\frac{d}{q})} D_x^\alpha p &\in BC([0, \infty); L^q), \text{ for } q \geq d, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_d$ and $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. D_x^α denotes $\partial_x^{|\alpha|} = \partial^{|\alpha|} / (\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d})$, $\partial_t^{\alpha_0} = \partial^{\alpha_0} / \partial t^{\alpha_0}$.

In 2005, Okihiro Sawada [23] obtained the decay rate of solutions to NSE with initial data in $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. He showed that every mild solution in the class

$$u \in BC([0, T]; \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) \text{ and } t^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p})} u \in BC([0, T]; \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{2}-1}),$$

for some $T > 0$ and $p \in (2, \infty]$ satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^\alpha} \leq K_1(K_2 \tilde{\alpha})^{\tilde{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2}} \text{ for } q \geq 2, \alpha > \frac{d}{2} - 1, t \in (0, T], \text{ and } \tilde{\alpha} := \alpha + 1 - \frac{d}{q},$$

where constants K_1 and K_2 depend only on d, p, M_1 , and M_2 with $M_1 = \sup_{0 < t < T} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}$ and $M_2 = \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}$.

Finally, we state here some known results regarding globally-defined mild solutions to NSE evolving from possibly large data.

Theorem 1. (*Decay in \mathbb{R}^3*). *Let $u \in C([0, +\infty), X)$ be a global solution to NSE for some divergence-free $u_0 \in X$, where X is either $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ or $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_X = 0$.*

This is proved for $X = \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in [10], and for $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in [11].

In this paper, we discuss the existence and decay properties of high order derivatives in time and space variables for local and global solutions of the Cauchy problem for the NSE with initial data in Bessel-potential spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(1 < p < \infty)$. By using several tools from harmonic analysis, we obtain decay estimates for derivatives of arbitrary order. The estimate for the decay rate is optimal in the sense that it coincides with the decay rate of a solution to the heat equation. This result improves the previous ones. The content of this paper is as follows: in Section 2, we state our main theorem after introducing some notations. In Section 3, we first establish some estimates concerning the heat semigroup with differential. We also recall some auxiliary lemmas and several estimates in the homogeneous Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces. Finally, in Section 4, we will give the proof of the main theorems.

§2. Statement of the results

Now, for $T > 0$, we say that u is a mild solution of NSE on $[0, T]$ corresponding to a divergence-free initial datum u_0 when u solves the integral equation

$$u = e^{t\Delta} u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau, \cdot) \otimes u(\tau, \cdot)) d\tau.$$

Above we have used the following notation: for a tensor $F = (F_{ij})$ we define the vector $\nabla \cdot F$ by $(\nabla \cdot F)_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j F_{ij}$ and for two vectors u and v , we define their tensor product $(u \otimes v)_{ij} = u_i v_j$. The operator \mathbb{P} is the Helmholtz-Leray projection onto the divergence-free fields

$$(\mathbb{P}f)_j = f_j + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} R_j R_k f_k,$$

where R_j is the Riesz transforms defined as

$$R_j = \frac{\partial_j}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \widehat{R_j g}(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{g}(\xi).$$

The heat kernel $e^{t\Delta}$ is defined as

$$e^{t\Delta}u(x) = ((4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-|\cdot|^2/4t} * u)(x).$$

For a space of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^d , say $E(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we will abbreviate it as E . We denote by $L^q := L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the usual Lebesgue space for $q \in [1, \infty]$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_q$, and we do not distinguish between the vector-valued and scalar-value spaces of functions. We define the Bessel-potential space by $\dot{H}_q^s := \dot{\Lambda}^{-s} L^q$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} := \|\dot{\Lambda}^s f\|_q$. Here $\dot{\Lambda}^s := \mathcal{F}^{-1} |\xi|^s \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are the Fourier transform and its inverse, respectively. $\dot{\Lambda} = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ is the homogeneous Calderon pseudo-differential operator defined as $\widehat{\dot{\Lambda} g}(\xi) = |\xi| \hat{g}(\xi)$. For any collection of Banach $(X_m)_{m=1}^M$ and $X = X_1 \cap \dots \cap X_M$, we'll always set $\|g\| = (\sum_{m=1}^M \|g\|_{X_m})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Similarly, vector-valued $f = (f_1, \dots, f_M)$, we define $\|f\| = (\sum_{m=1}^M \|f_m\|_X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Throughout the paper, we sometimes use the notation $A \lesssim B$ as an equivalent to $A \leq CB$ with a uniform constant C . The notation $A \simeq B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Now we can state our result

Theorem 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ be fixed, then*

(A) (Local existence) *For any initial data $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$, there exists a positive $T = T(u_0)$ such that NSE has a unique mild solution u satisfying*

- (i) $t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \dot{\Lambda}^s u \in BC([0, T]; L^q)$, for $q \geq p, s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1$,
- (ii) $t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2n-\frac{d}{q})} \dot{\Lambda}^s D_t^n u \in BC([0, T]; L^q)$, for $q \geq p, s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iii) $t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2-\frac{d}{q})} \dot{\Lambda}^s p \in BC([0, T]; L^q)$ $q \geq p, s \geq \max\{\frac{d}{p} - 1, 0\}$.

(B) (Global existence) For all $\tilde{q} > \max\{p, d\}$ there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{\tilde{q}, d}$ such that if

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-1, \infty}} \leq \sigma_{\tilde{q}, d}, \quad (1)$$

then the existence time T in point (A) for the solution u is equal to $+\infty$. Moreover, we have

- (a) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0$, for $q \geq p, s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1$,
- (b) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2n-\frac{d}{q})} \|D_t^n u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0$, for $q \geq p, s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (c) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|p(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0$ for $q \geq p, s \geq \max\{\frac{d}{p} - 1, 0\}$.

Remark 1. Our result improves the previous ones for $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. These spaces, studied in [13] and [23], are particular cases of the Bessel spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ with $p = d$ and $p = 2$, respectively. We have the following imbeddings

$$\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)_{(1 < p < 2)} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^d(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)_{(p > d)}.$$

Furthermore, we obtain statements that are stronger than those of Cheng He and Ling Hsiao [13] and Okihiro Sawada [23] but under a much weaker condition on the initial data.

We show that the condition (1) on the initial data in Theorem 1 is weaker than the condition in [13]. We have $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($\tilde{q} > d$), but these two spaces are different. Indeed, we have $|x|^{-1} \notin L^d$ and $|x|^{-1} \in \dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-1, \infty}$ for all $\tilde{q} > d$.

Theorem 3. Let $d \geq 2$ and $1 < p \leq d$ be fixed, then

- (a) For all $\tilde{q} > d$ there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\tilde{q}, d}$ such that for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-1, \infty}} \leq \sigma_{\tilde{q}, d},$$

NSE has a unique global mild solution $u \in BC([0, +\infty), \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} = 0.$$

In the case $d = 3$ we have the following result.

(b)(Decay in \mathbb{R}^3) Let $u \in C([0, +\infty), \dot{H}_p^{\frac{3}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a global solution to NSE for some divergence-free $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{3}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_p^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Remark 2. Our result extends the previous ones for $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. These spaces, studied in [11] and [10], are particular cases of the Bessel spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{3}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $p = 3$ and $p = 2$, respectively.

§3. Tools from harmonic analysis

In this section we prepare some auxiliary lemmas.

We first establish the L^p-L^q estimate for the heat semigroup with differential.

Lemma 1. Assume that $d \geq 1$ and $s \geq 0, t > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Then for all $f \in L^p$ we have

$$t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})+\frac{s}{2}} \dot{\Lambda}^s e^{t\Delta} f \in BC([0, \infty); L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ and } \|\dot{\Lambda}^s e^{t\Delta} f\|_q \leq C t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{s}{2}} \|f\|_p.$$

where C is a positive constant which depends only on s, p, q , and d .

Proof. See [23]. □

In order to obtain our theorem we must establish the estimates for bilinear terms. We thus need a version of Hölder type inequality in Bessel-potential spaces.

Lemma 2. Let $1 < r, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$ and $s \geq 0$ satisfying $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(d, s, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2)$ such that for all $f \in \dot{H}_{p_1}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for all $g \in \dot{H}_{q_2}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}_r^s} \leq C(\|f\|_{\dot{H}_{p_1}^s} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|f\|_{p_2} \|g\|_{\dot{H}_{q_2}^s}).$$

Proof. See [12]. □

Lemma 3. Let $\gamma, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$, then

(a) If $\theta < 1$ then

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-\gamma} \tau^{-\theta} d\tau = C t^{1-\gamma-\theta}, \text{ where } C = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-\tau)^{-\gamma} \tau^{-\theta} d\tau < \infty.$$

(b) If $\gamma < 1$ then

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-\gamma} \tau^{-\theta} d\tau = C t^{1-\gamma-\theta}, \text{ where } C = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-\tau)^{-\gamma} \tau^{-\theta} d\tau < \infty.$$

The proof of this lemma is elementary and may be omitted. \square

Theorem 4. (Calderon-Zygmund theorem).

The Riesz transforms $R_j = \frac{\partial_j}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$ defined by $\mathcal{F}(R_j g)(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi)$ are bounded from \mathcal{H}^1 to L^1 , from L^∞ to BMO , and L^q to L^q for $1 < q < \infty$.

Lemma 4. (Sobolev inequalities).

If $s_1 > s_2$, $1 < q_1, q_2 < \infty$, and $s_1 - \frac{d}{q_1} = s_2 - \frac{d}{q_2}$, then we have the following embedding mapping

$$\dot{H}_{q_1}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{q_2}^{s_2}.$$

In this paper we use the definition of the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_q^{s,p}$ in [2, 1]. The following lemmas will provide a different characterization of Besov spaces $\dot{B}_q^{s,p}$ in terms of the heat semigroup and will be one of the staple ingredients of the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 5. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s < 0$ then the two quantities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty (t^{-\frac{s}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_q)^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p} \text{ and } \|f\|_{\dot{B}_q^{s,p}} \text{ are equivalent,}$$

where $\dot{B}_q^{s,p}$ is the homogeneous Besov space.

Proof. See ([7], Proposition 1, p. 181 and Proposition 3, p. 182), or see ([20], Theorem 5.4, p. 45). \square

Let us recall following result on solutions of a quadratic equation in Banach spaces (Theorem 22.4 in [20], p. 227).

Theorem 5. Let E be a Banach space, and $B : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous bilinear map such that there exists $\eta > 0$ so that

$$\|B(x, y)\| \leq \eta \|x\| \|y\|,$$

for all x and y in E . Then for any fixed $y \in E$ such that $\|y\| \leq \frac{1}{4\eta}$, the equation $x = y - B(x, x)$ has a unique solution $\bar{x} \in E$ satisfying $\|\bar{x}\| \leq \frac{1}{2\eta}$.

§4. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

In this section we shall give the proof of Theorems 2 and 3. We now need four more lemmas. In order to proceed, we define an auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$. Let s, q, T be such that

$$q \in (1, +\infty), s \geq \frac{d}{q} - 1, \text{ and } 0 < T \leq \infty,$$

we set

$$\alpha = \alpha(s, q) = s + 1 - \frac{d}{q}.$$

In the case $T < \infty$, we define the auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ which is made up by the functions $u(t, x)$ such that

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}_q^s)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0. \quad (3)$$

In the case $T = \infty$, we define the auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{q,\infty}^s$ which is made up by the functions $u(t, x)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u &\in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^s), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0. \quad (5)$$

The auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s} := \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s}.$$

In the case $s = \frac{d}{q} - 1$ it is also convenient to define the space $\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ as the natural space $C([0, T]; \dot{H}_q^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with the additional condition that its elements $u(t, x)$ satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0,$$

if $T = \infty$ then its elements $u(t, x)$ satisfy the additional condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0.$$

Remark 3. The auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_q := \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^0$ ($q \geq d, 0 < T < \infty$) was introduced by Weissler and systematically used by Kato [14] and Cannone [6]. In the case $T = \infty$, the space \mathcal{K}_q of Kato isn't restricted by the condition (5).

Lemma 6. *If $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($1 < p < \infty$) then*

(a) *For all q and s satisfying $q \geq p$ and $s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1$ we have*

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^s) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0. \quad (7)$$

(b) For all q and s satisfying $q > p$ and $s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1$ we have

$$e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{q,\infty}^s.$$

(c) For all q and s satisfying $q > p$ and $s > \frac{d}{q} - 1$ we have

$$e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{q,\infty}^s.$$

Proof. (a) By applying Lemma 1 for $\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \in L^p$, we have

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \dot{\Lambda}^s e^{t\Delta} u_0 = t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \dot{\Lambda}^{s-\frac{d}{p}+1} e^{t\Delta} \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \in BC([0, \infty); L^q). \quad (8)$$

The relation (8) is equivalent to the relation (6).

We now prove the equality (7), it is easily prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathcal{X}_n \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \right\|_p = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{X}_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in \{x : |x| < n\} \cap \{x : |\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0(x)| < n\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_n(x) = 1$ otherwise. Let p^* be such that $1 < p^* < p$. Applying Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} &= t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{s-\frac{d}{p}+1} e^{t\Delta} \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \right\|_q \leq \\ &t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{s-\frac{d}{p}+1} e^{t\Delta} (\mathcal{X}_n \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0) \right\|_q + t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{s-\frac{d}{p}+1} e^{t\Delta} ((1 - \mathcal{X}_n) \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0) \right\|_q \\ &\leq C \left\| \mathcal{X}_n \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \right\|_p + t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})} \left\| (1 - \mathcal{X}_n) \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \right\|_{p^*} \\ &\leq C \left\| \mathcal{X}_n \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \right\|_p + t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})} \|n(1 - \mathcal{X}_n)\|_{p^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, from (9) we have

$$C \left\| \mathcal{X}_n \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0 \right\|_p < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (11)$$

for large enough n . Fixed one of such n , there exists $t_0 = t_0(n) > 0$ satisfying

$$t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})} \|n(1 - \mathcal{X}_n)\|_{p^*} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (12)$$

for all $t > t_0$, from the inequalities (10), (11), and (12) we deduce that

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} < \epsilon \text{ for all } t > t_0.$$

(b) By (a), we only need to prove

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0.$$

Let p^* be such that $p < p^* \leq q$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, applying Lemma 1, by an argument similar to the previous one, there exist a sufficiently large n and a sufficiently small $t_0 = t_0(n)$ such that

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} \leq \|\mathcal{X}_n \dot{\Delta}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} u_0\|_p + t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^*})} \|n(1 - \mathcal{X}_n)\|_{p^*} < \epsilon$$

for all $t < t_0$

(c) Let \tilde{p} be such that $\max\{p, \frac{d}{s+1}\} < \tilde{p} < q$. From Lemma 4 we have $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \subset \dot{H}_{\tilde{p}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$. Applying (b) to obtain $e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{q,\infty}^s$. This proves (c). \square

In the following lemmas a particular attention will be devoted to the study of the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ defined by

$$B(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) d\tau. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 7. *Let $s, \tilde{s} \geq 0$ and $1 < q, \tilde{q} < \infty$ be such that*

$$s \geq \frac{d}{q} - 1 \text{ and } \frac{\tilde{s}}{d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} < \min\left\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tilde{s}}{2d}, \frac{\tilde{s}+1}{d}, 1 + \frac{\tilde{s}}{d} - \frac{1}{q}\right\}.$$

Then the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from

$$(\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s \cap \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q},T}^{\tilde{s}}) \times (\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s \cap \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q},T}^{\tilde{s}}) \text{ into } \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q},T}^{\hat{s}},$$

for all \hat{q} and \hat{s} satisfying

$$0 < \frac{1}{\hat{q}} \leq \min\left\{\frac{2}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{\tilde{s}}{d}, \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{\tilde{s}}{d}\right\},$$

and

$$-1 < \hat{s} - s < 1 - d\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{\tilde{s}}{d} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\hat{q}}\right), \hat{s} \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1,$$

and the following inequality holds

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\hat{q},T}^{\hat{s}}} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s \cap \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q},T}^{\tilde{s}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s \cap \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q},T}^{\tilde{s}}}, \quad (14)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of T .

Proof. We split the integral given in (13) into two parts coming from the subintervals $(0, \frac{t}{2})$ and $(\frac{t}{2}, t)$

$$B(u, v)(t) = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) d\tau + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) d\tau. \quad (15)$$

Set

$$\frac{1}{h} = \frac{2}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{\tilde{s}}{d}, \frac{1}{\hat{h}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{\tilde{s}}{d}, \text{ and } \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\hat{h}}$$

To estimate the first term on the right-hand side of the equation (15), applying Lemma 1, Theorem 4, Lemma 2, Lemma 4, and Lemma 3(a) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} &\leq \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) \right\|_{\dot{q}} d\tau = \\ &\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}-\tilde{s}+1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \frac{\nabla}{\dot{\Lambda}} \cdot \dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}} (u \otimes v) \right\|_{\dot{q}} d\tau \leq \\ &\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\tilde{s}-\tilde{s}-1}{2} + \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{1}{h})} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}} (u \otimes v) \right\|_{\hat{h}} d\tau \lesssim \\ &\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\tilde{s}-\tilde{s}-1}{2} + \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{1}{h})} (\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\hat{h}} + \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\hat{h}}) d\tau \lesssim \\ &\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\tilde{s}-\tilde{s}-1}{2} + \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{1}{h})} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} d\tau \leq \\ &\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{\frac{\tilde{s}-\tilde{s}-1}{2} + \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{2}{q} + \frac{\tilde{s}}{d})} \tau^{\frac{d}{q} - \tilde{s} - 1} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{q}}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{q}}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} d\tau \\ &\simeq t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} \cdot \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

To estimate the second term on the right-hand side of the equation (15), applying Lemma 1, Theorem 4, Lemma 2, and Lemma 3(b) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} &\leq \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) \right\|_{\dot{q}} d\tau = \\ &\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}-s+1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \frac{\nabla}{\dot{\Lambda}} \cdot \dot{\Lambda}^s (u \otimes v) \right\|_{\dot{q}} d\tau \lesssim \\ &\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{s-\tilde{s}-1}{2} + \frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{1}{r})} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^s (u \otimes v) \right\|_r d\tau \lesssim \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{s-\tilde{s}-1}{2}+\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{1}{\tau})} (\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} + \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}}) d\tau \lesssim \\
& \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{s-\tilde{s}-1}{2}+\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{1}{\tau})} (\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} + \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}}) d\tau \lesssim \\
& \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{s-\tilde{s}-1}{2}+\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{1}{\tau}-\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}+\frac{\tilde{s}}{d})} \tau^{\frac{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}+\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-s-\tilde{s}-2}{2}} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}}{2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}}{2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} d\tau \\
& + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{s-\tilde{s}-1}{2}+\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{1}{\tau}-\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}+\frac{\tilde{s}}{d})} \tau^{\frac{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}+\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-s-\tilde{s}-2}{2}} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}}{2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}}{2}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} d\tau \\
& \simeq t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \left(\sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} + \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} \right). \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the inequalities (16) and (17), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|B(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} & \lesssim \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} + \\
& \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} + \\
& \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} \sup_{0<\eta<t} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}}. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate (14) is deduced from the inequality (18). Let us now check the validity of the condition (3) for the bilinear term $B(u, v)(t)$. Indeed, from the inequality (18) we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|B(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} = 0 \tag{19}$$

whenever

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} & = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} = \\
\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} & = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^s} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of $T = \infty$, we check the validity of the condition (5) for the bilinear term $B(u, v)(t)$. Firstly we estimate the first term on the right-hand side of equation (15). By the inequality (16) and a change variable of the variable τ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}})} \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} \lesssim \\
& \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-\tau)^{\frac{\tilde{s}-\tilde{s}-1}{2}+\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{2}{\tilde{q}}+\frac{\tilde{s}}{d})} \tau^{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-\tilde{s}-1} (t\tau)^{\frac{\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}}{2}} \|u(t\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} (t\tau)^{\frac{\tilde{s}+1-\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}}{2}} \|v(t\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\tilde{q}}^{\tilde{s}}} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lebesgue's convergence theorem we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \left\| \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}} = 0 \quad (20)$$

whenever

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} u \in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}), \quad t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} v \in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}), \quad (21)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}} = 0. \quad (22)$$

By an argument similar to the previous one, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \left\| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}} = 0 \quad (23)$$

whenever

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} u &\in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^s), \quad t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} v \in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^s), \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} u &\in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}), \quad \text{and} \quad t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} v \in BC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

It follows readily from (20) and (23) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{s}+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|B(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\bar{s}}} = 0,$$

whenever (24) and (25) are satisfied.

Finally, the continuity at $t = 0$ zero of $t^{\frac{1}{2}(1+s-\frac{d}{r})} B(u, v)(t)$ follows from the equality (19). The continuity elsewhere follows from carefully rewriting the expression $\int_0^{t+\epsilon} - \int_0^t$ and applying the same argument. \square

Lemma 8. *Let p be a fixed number in the interval $(1, +\infty)$. Assume that NSE has a mild solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^0$ for some $q \in (\max\{p, d\}, +\infty)$ with initial data $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$, then $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{q}-1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$.*

Proof: We first prove that if $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for some $s \geq 0$ then $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^{\hat{s}}$ for all $\hat{s} \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, +\infty) \cap (s - 1, s + 1 - \frac{d}{q})$. Indeed, from $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s \cap \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^0$, we apply Lemma 7 for $\hat{q} = \tilde{q} = q$ and $\tilde{s} = 0$, we have $B(u, u) \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^{\hat{s}}$ for all $\hat{s} \in [\frac{d}{q} - 1, +\infty) \cap (s - 1, s + 1 - \frac{d}{q})$. From Lemma 6 (c), we have $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^{\hat{s}}$ for all $\hat{s} \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, +\infty)$. Since $u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - B(u, u)$, it follows that $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^{\hat{s}}$ for all $\hat{s} \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, +\infty) \cap (s - 1, s + 1 - \frac{d}{q})$. This proves the result.

We now prove that $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$. Indeed, from the above proof and from

$u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^0$, we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all $s \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, 1 - \frac{d}{q})$, in exactly the same way, since $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all $s \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, 1 - \frac{d}{q})$, it follows that $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all $s \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, 2(1 - \frac{d}{q}))$. By induction, we get $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all $s \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, n(1 - \frac{d}{q}))$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $1 - \frac{d}{q} > 0$, it follows that $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all $s \in (\frac{d}{q} - 1, +\infty)$. The proof of Lemma 8 is complete. \square

Lemma 9. Let p be a fixed number in the interval $(1, +\infty)$. Assume that NSE has a mild solution $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for some $q \in (\max\{p, d\}, +\infty)$ with

initial data $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p} - 1}$, then $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{p,T}^s$.

Proof: We consider two cases $d \leq p < +\infty$ and $1 < p < d$ separately. First, we consider the case $d \leq p < +\infty$. We'll prove that $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$. Indeed, let \hat{q} and s be such that $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $\frac{d}{q} - 1 \leq s < +\infty$, we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s \cap \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^0$, applying Lemma 7 for $\tilde{q} = q$, $\tilde{s} = 0$ and $\hat{s} = s$, we have $B(u, u) \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^{\hat{s}}$. From Lemma 6(c), we have $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^{\hat{s}}$ for all \hat{q} and s satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$ and $\frac{d}{q} - 1 < s < +\infty$. Since $u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - B(u, u)$, it follows that $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^{\hat{s}}$ for all \hat{q} and s be such that $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$ and $\frac{d}{q} - 1 < s < +\infty$. This proves the result.

We now prove that $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{p,T}^s$. Indeed, in exactly the same way,

since $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$, it follows that

$B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{4}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$

for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{4}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$. By induction, we get $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$

for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2^n}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying

$\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2^n}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{1}{q} > 0$, it follows that there exists sufficiently large n satisfying $(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2^n}{q}] \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}] = (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p}]$. Therefore, we have $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all $\hat{q} \in [p, q]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all $\hat{q} \in (p, q)$.

This proves the result.

Finally, we consider the case $1 < p \leq d$. Applying Lemma 4 to obtain $u_0 \in L^d$. Therefore, from the proof of the case $d \leq p < +\infty$ we have $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all $\hat{q} \in [d, q]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all $\hat{q} \in (d, q)$.

In exactly the same way as in the case $d \leq p < +\infty$, since $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$

for all $\hat{q} \in (d, q)$, it follows that $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying

$\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$.

We'll prove that if $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for some $\tilde{q} \in (p, d]$ then $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$

for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}, \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q}

satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}, \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$. Indeed, let \hat{q} and s be such that $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}, \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$ and $s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1$, applying Lemma 7 for $\hat{s} = s, q = \tilde{q}$, and $\tilde{s} \in (\max\{\frac{2d}{\tilde{q}} - d, \frac{d}{\tilde{q}} - 1\}, \frac{2d}{\tilde{q}} - \frac{d}{\tilde{q}})$ we get $B(u, u) \in \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$. From Lemma 6(c), we have $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} and s satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$ and $\frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1 < s < +\infty$. Since $u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - B(u, u)$, it follows that $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} and s be such that $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}, \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$ and $\frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1 < s < +\infty$. This proves the result.

We now prove that $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$. Indeed, from the above proof and

from $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$, we have $B(u, u) \in$

$\bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{3}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all

\hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{3}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$. By induction, we get $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$

for all \hat{q} satisfying $\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{n}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all \hat{q} satisfying

$\frac{1}{\hat{q}} \in (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{n}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p})$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists sufficiently large n satisfying $(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{n}{d}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{p}] = (\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p}]$. Therefore, we have $B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{\hat{q}} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all

$\hat{q} \in [p, q)$ and $u \in \bigcap_{s > \frac{d}{q} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{q}, T}^s$ for all $\hat{q} \in (p, q)$. The proof of Lemma 9 is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2

(A) To prove point (i), we take arbitrary \tilde{q} satisfying $\tilde{q} > \max\{p, d\}$. Applying Lemma 7 for $q = \hat{q} = \tilde{q}$ and $s = \tilde{s} = \hat{s} = 0$, we deduce that the bilinear operator B is bounded from $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q}}^0 \times \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q}}^0$ into $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q}}^0$. Therefore, applying Theorem 5 to the bilinear operator B , we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\tilde{q}, d}$ such that for all $T > 0$ and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q}, T}^0} \leq \delta_{\tilde{q}, d}, \quad (26)$$

NSE has a unique mild solution u satisfying

$$u \in \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q}, T}^0. \quad (27)$$

Applying Lemmas 8 and 9, we get

$$B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1} \mathcal{K}_{p, T}^s. \quad (28)$$

From the relation (28) and Lemma 4 we have

$$B(u, u) \in \bigcap_{s \geq \frac{d}{p} - 1, q \geq p} \mathcal{K}_{q, T}^s. \quad (29)$$

From $u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - B(u, u)$, the relation (29), the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{q, T}^s$, and Lemma 6 (a), we deduce that (i) is valid.

(ii) We have proven that (ii) is valid for $n = 0$. We will prove that (ii) is valid for $n = 1$. Applying Lemma 2 and the Theorem 4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^s u_t\|_q &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+2} u\|_q + t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \left\| \mathbb{P} \frac{\nabla}{\dot{\Lambda}} \dot{\Lambda}^{s+1} (u \otimes u) \right\|_q \lesssim \\ &t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+2} u\|_q + t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\Lambda^{s+1} (u \otimes u)\|_q \lesssim \\ &t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+2} u\|_q + t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2-\frac{d}{2q})} \|\Lambda^{s+1} u\|_{2q} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{2q})} \|u\|_{2q} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

the last inequality in (30) is deduced by applying (ii) for $n = 0$.

We prove that (ii) is valid for $n = 2$. Applying again Lemma 2 and Theorem

4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+4-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^s u_{tt}\|_q &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+2} u_t\|_q + t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+4-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+1} D_t(u \otimes u)\|_q \\
&\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2+1+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+2} u_t\|_q + t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+1+2-\frac{d}{2q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+1} u_t\|_{2q} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{2q})} \|u\|_{2q} + \\
&\quad t^{\frac{1}{2}(1+2-\frac{d}{2q})} \|u_t\|_{2q} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1+1-\frac{d}{2q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^{s+1} u\|_{2q} < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

the last inequality in (29) is deduced by applying (ii) for $n = 1$.

By continuing this procedure, we can prove that (ii) is valid for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) Applying Lemma 2 and Theorem 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^s p\|_q &= t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2-\frac{d}{q})} \left\| \frac{\nabla \otimes \nabla}{\Delta} \dot{\Lambda}^s (u \otimes u) \right\|_q \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+2-\frac{d}{q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^s (u \otimes u)\|_q \\
&\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{2q})} \|\dot{\Lambda}^s u\|_{2q} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{2q})} \|u\|_{2q} < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The last inequality in (32) is deduced by applying (i).

Finally, we will show that the inequality (26) is valid when T is small enough.

Indeed, applying Lemma 6(c) we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{q,T}^0$. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{q,T}^s$, we deduce that the left-hand side of the inequality (26) converges to 0 when T tends to 0. Therefore the inequality (26) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough.

(B) Applying Lemma 5, we deduce that the two quantities

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-1,\infty}} \text{ and } \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{q},\infty}^0}$$

are equivalent, then there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{\tilde{q},d}$ such that if $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{q}}-1,\infty}} \leq \sigma_{\tilde{q},d}$ the inequality (26) holds for $T = \infty$. Therefore, in point

(A) we can take $T = +\infty$.

(a) From $u = e^{t\Delta} u_0 - B(u, u)$ and Lemma 6(a), we only need to prove

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-\frac{d}{q})} \|w\|_{\dot{H}_q^s} = 0, \text{ where } w = B(u, u). \tag{33}$$

Indeed, this is deduced from the relation (29) and the definition of $K_{q,\infty}^s$.

(b) For $n = 1$, (b) is deduced from (a) and the inequality (30). In the case $n = 2$, (b) is deduced by using the inequality (31) and applying (b) for $n = 1$.

By continuing this procedure, we can prove that (b) is valid for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) Using (a) and the inequality (32), we deduce that (c) is valid. \square

Proof of Theorem 3

(a) This is deduced from Theorem 2 and the uniqueness theorem for solutions in the class $C([0, T]; L^d(\mathbb{R}^d))$ established in [9] (see also [21] for a simplified proof).

(b) From the embedding mapping $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{3}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)_{(1 < p \leq 3)} \hookrightarrow L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have $u_0 \in L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Applying Theorem 1 to obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0. \quad (34)$$

From the equality (34) and the following embedding mapping

$$L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{3}{\tilde{q}}-1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ for } \tilde{q} > 3,$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\tilde{q}}^{\frac{3}{\tilde{q}}-1, \infty}} = 0 \text{ for } \tilde{q} > 3. \quad (35)$$

The equality (2) is deduced from (a) and the equality (35). This proves (b). \square

Acknowledgments. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.02-2014.50.

References

- [1] G. Bourdaud, *Ce qu'il faut savoir sur les espaces de Besov*, Prépublication de l'Université Paris 7 (janvier 1993).
- [2] G. Bourdaud, *Réalisation des espaces de Besov homogènes*, Ark. Mat. **26** (1) (1988) 41-54.
- [3] Zhi-Min Chen, *A sharp decay result on strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991) 801-820
- [4] J. Chemin, I. Gallagher, *Wellposedness and stability results for the Navier-Stokes in \mathbb{R}^3* , Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **26** (2) (2009) 599-624.
- [5] J. Y. Chemin, *Remarque sur l'existence globale pour le système de Navier-Stokes incompressible*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **26** (2) (2009) 599-624.

- [6] M. Cannone, *A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **13** (3) (1997) 515-541.
- [7] M. Cannone, Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, in: S.J. Friedlander, D. Serre (Eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics*, Vol. III, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004, pp. 161-244
- [8] H. Fujita, T. Kato, *On the NavierStokes initial value problem I*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **16** (1964) 269-315.
- [9] G. Furioli, P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, E. Terraneo, *Unicité dans $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ et d'autres espaces fonctionnels limites pour NavierStokes*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **16** (3) (2000) 605-667.
- [10] I. Gallagher, D. Iftimie, F. Planchon, *Non-explosion en temps grand et stabilit de solutions globales des équations de Navier-Stokes*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **334** (4) (2002) 289-292.
- [11] I. Gallagher, D. Iftimie, F. Planchon, *Asymptotics and stability for global solutions to the NavierStokes equations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (5) (2003) 1387-1424.
- [12] Loukas Grafakos and Seungly Oh, *The Kato-Ponce inequality*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014) 1128-1157
- [13] Cheng He and Ling Hsiao, *The decay rates of strong solutions for Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **268** (2002) 417-425
- [14] T. Kato, *Strong L^p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^m with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Zeit., **187** (1984) 471-480.
- [15] H. Koch, D. Tataru, *Well-posedness for the NavierStokes equations*, Adv. Math. **157** (1) (2001) 22-35.
- [16] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *Solutions in mixed-norm Sobolev-Lorentz spaces to the initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **417** (2014) 819-833.
- [17] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations with datum in Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **437** (2016) 754-781.

- [18] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *On the Hausdorff dimension of the singular set in time for weak solutions to the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equation on torus*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics, **43** (2015) 283-295.
- [19] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *On the initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial datum in critical Sobolev and Besov spaces*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **23** (2016) 499-528.
- [20] P. G. Lemarie-Rieusset, *Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 431, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [21] S. Monniaux, *Uniqueness of mild solutions of the NavierStokes equation and maximal L^p -regularity*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **328** (8) (1999) 663-668.
- [22] F. Planchon, *Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the incompressible NavierStokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3* , Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire **13** (3) (1996) 319-336.
- [23] O. Sawada, *On analyticity rate estimates of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Bessel-potential spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **312** (2005) 1-13.
- [24] M. Schonbek, *Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in H^m spaces*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995) 103-117